

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

9 SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,)	
10 v.)	3:09-cv-00042-LRH-WGC
11 GLEN FAIR, et al.,)	
12 v.)	
13 SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,)	
14 v.)	3:09-cv-109-LRH-WGC
15 RICK ASHER, et al.,)	
16 v.)	
17 SCOTTIE RAY VAN NORT,)	
18 v.)	3:09-cv-110-LRH-WGC
19 GLEN FAIR, et al.,)	
20 v.)	<u>O R D E R</u>
21 Defendants.)	
22	_____	

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb¹ entered on July 18, 2012, recommending granting certain counts and dismissing other counts of Defendants'

¹ The Report and Recommendation is identified as document #86 in case no. 3:09-cv-00042-LRH-WGC; document #106 in case no. 3:09-cv-0109-LRH-WGC; and document #44 in case no. 3:09-cv-0110-LRH-WGC.

1 Motion for Summary Judgment (42 Doc. #62²) filed on August 19, 2011. No objection to the Magistrate
2 Judge's Report and Recommendation has been filed. The action was referred to the Magistrate Judge
3 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)B and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States
4 District Court for the District of Nevada.

5 The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the pleadings and
6 memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and
7 Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation entered
8 on July 17, 2012, should be adopted and accepted.

9 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#86 in
10 case no. 3:09-cv-0042-LRH-WGC; #106 in case no. 3:09-cv-0109-LRH-WGC; and #44 in case no. 3:09-
11 cv-0110 entered on July 17, 2012, is adopted and accepted as follows:

- 12 • In 3:09-cv-00109, Doe defendants are DISMISSED without prejudice;
13 • In 3:09-cv-00109, summary judgment is GRANTED in favor of defendant Hindelang as to Count
14 7;
15 • In 3:09-cv-00109, Counts 8 and 12 are DISMISSED without prejudice as the allegations
16 contained therein are only directed toward Doe defendants;
17 • In 3:09-cv-00109, summary judgment is GRANTED as to defendant Ramsey in Counts 13 and
18 14; and
19 • In 3:09-cv-00110, summary judgment is GRANTED as to defendant Ramsey in Count 5.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED this 10th day of September, 2012.



22 _____
23 LARRY R. HICKS
24 _____
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26 ²On April 22, 2011, Case Nos. 3:09-cv-00042, 3:09-cv-109 and 3:09-cv-110 were consolidated.
The motion for summary judgment was filed in case 3:09-cv-00042.